

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/782,804 02/13/2001 Motasim Sirhan 020460000940 9572 20350 7590 **EXAMINER** 12/10/2004 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP PHAN, HIEU TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER **EIGHTH FLOOR ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 3738

DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	a
Office Action Summary		09/782,804	SIRHAN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hieu Phan	3738	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -	•
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  I period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicated (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	<u>ovember 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			÷
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 38-50 and 54-59 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 54 and 55 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 38-50,56-59 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate rity documents have been receiv u'(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment		4) T 1-4	w (DTO 442)	
<ul><li>2)  Notic</li><li>3)  Inform</li></ul>	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	•	

# Withdrawal of Non-Finality of Last Office Action

1. The Non-Final Office Action mailed on 10/29/2004 have been rescinded and the following office action is respond to the Amendment After Final Office Action, which was received on 03/11/2004

# Withdrawal of Finality of Last Office Action

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action, which was filed on 09/08/2003, is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 38-41, 45-50 and 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harish et al. (U.S. Patent 6,506,437).

Harish et al. is explained as before. Harish et al. fail to disclose the rate which the therapeutic agents are release from the stent as claimed in claims 38-41 and 56.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the rate which the therapeutic agents are release from the stent as claimed in claims 38-41 and 56, since it has been held that where the general conditions of claims are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

# Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 54 and 55 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 03/11/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regard to Applicant's agreements that Harish et al. reference fails to disclose or suggest a release rate of a therapeutic agent, Examiner disagrees. Harish et al. disclose in column 10 lines 65-67 and column 11 lines 1-9 a general release rate of substance or substances, for example methylprednisolone (column 7 line 6). Because Harish et al. disclose a general release rate, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in paragraph 3 is proper.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/782,804

Art Unit: 3738

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hieu Phan whose telephone number is 703-308-8969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

Hieu Phan Examiner Art Unit 3738

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700